

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,339	01/16/2001	Minoru Miyatake	Q62691	2152
7590 10/14/2003			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R	
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	nia Avenue, N.W. C. 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
υ,			2071	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			00			
. 0	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10			
Advisory Action	09/759,339	Miyatake et al				
, and y notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Prasad R. Akkapeddi	2871	l			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offlitmely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s			

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8.⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on 17 September 2003 is a) ⊠ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the

10. Other: ____

Examiner

NOTE: .

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments put forth in the amendment filed on 09/17/2003 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The statements on page 6, lines 16-21 and page 7, lines 1-6, in particular "incident light toward an oblique direction curves its light path and permeates" appear to be in contradiction to the generally accepted principles of physics and appear to be contradictory to the teachings of the cited prior art. The rejections as stated in the earlier Office actions are still valid.

RRA